



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,723	08/31/2001	Takeshi Saito	NITT.00348407	
38327 75	90 05/02/2005		EXAMINER	
REED SMITH LLP			GREGORY, BERNARR E	
	3110 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE, SUITE 1400 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-			3662	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/942,723	SAİTO, TAKESHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bernarr E. Gregory	3662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
S <u>tatus</u>	_ =					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ •					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	· .				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		1				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		* *				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4				
	or the definied copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/942,723

Art Unit: 3662

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the use of "etc." at the end of the abstract. Correction is **required**. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The abbreviation "etc." at the end of the final line of the abstract must be deleted.

2. The Specification is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71 for failure to provide an enabling disclosure and for minor informalities.

On the third line of the first paragraph on page 1 of the Specification, "macro-wave" must be corrected to "microwave."

Applicant has failed to disclose adequately how one of ordinary skill-in-the-art would make and use a "sleeve antenna" at microwave frequencies. Using the formula given in the article "Antenne verticale à jupe," the length of a sleeve antenna at portions of the microwave band would be microscopic (< .1 mm as frequency nears 1,000,000 MHz).

Claim 1 as presently drafted is potentially a single-means claim in that the only item claimed in the body of the claim is "at least one sleeve antenna," which potentially could be a single sleeve antenna. As a single-means or single-element claim, the disclosure is not adequate to teach one of ordinary skill-in-the-art how to make and to use the invention. Please see MPEP 2164.08(a).

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,723

Art Unit: 3662

4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Please see the remarks with objection to the Specification above.

5. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

On line 4 of independent claim 1, the use of the words "information exchange" with reference to the passing of signals bidirectionally between the transponder and the interrogator is indefinite and unclear in that "information" is being sent in both directions.

Dependent claims 2-11 are unclear in that they depend from unclear independent claim 1.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The examiner-cited prior art is of interest as relating to interrogator/responder systems for goods protection or to sleeve antennae.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernarr E. Gregory whose telephone number

Art Unit: 3662

is (571) 272-6972. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas H. Tarcza, can be reached on (571) 272-6979.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bernarr E. Gregory Primary Examiner Art Unit 3662